

**SACRAMENTO REGIONAL TRANSIT DISTRICT  
EXECUTIVE BOARD COMMITTEE  
June 1, 2009**

**ROLL CALL:** Roll Call was taken at 4:15 p.m. **PRESENT:** Directors MacGlashan and Chair Cohn. **ABSENT:** Directors Dickinson, Hammond, Hume, Miller, Morin, Nottoli, Pannell, Sander, and Tretheway.

**APPROVAL OF ACTION SUMMARY**

1. Committee Meeting of May 4, 2009

***ACTION: APPROVED - Director MacGlashan moved; Chair Cohn seconded a motion to approve the action summary as written. Motion was carried by voice vote. ABSENT: Directors Dickinson, Hammond, Hume, Miller, Morin, Nottoli, Pannell, Sander, and Tretheway.***

**NEW BUSINESS**

2. Information: 2010 Budget Update (Brookshire)\*

Dee Brookshire and Les Tyler reviewed the 2010 Budget update. Staff is requesting that the Board consider fare increases to several categories of the fare structure (single fare, daily pass and life time passes along with their respective discount passes) to assist in balancing the budget along with service changes.

Chair Cohn requested that staff provide the members with information about possible options for the change in the use of the Paratransit monthly pass (i.e. limit number of trips for the pass, issue tickets books instead of the pass, etc). This information should be included in the report to the Board at the June 8 Board meeting.

Chair Cohn wanted to know if the current fare vending machines are able to issue a 3-day or weekly pass. He also requested a line item list of what was included in the non-labor cost reduction category (professional services). Both of these should be provided at the June 8 Board meeting.

Speaker: Barbara Stanton - She was surprised to see fare increases being proposed. She suggested that fare increases will need much public outreach.

3. Information: Explanation of Proposed Service Changes (Covington)\*

RoseMary Covington reviewed staff's proposed options for the September service changes as on the 2010 budget needs as well as possible service changes for January

2010.

The Board members suggested that all options for the September changes be brought to the Board on June 8<sup>th</sup>. In addition, Chair Cohn asked that staff prepare a route profile for Option #2 (eliminate all routes below 70% of system average).

The members also suggested that staff wait until July 27<sup>th</sup> to hold a public hearing for service changes for January 2010.

Speakers:

Mike Barnbaum - Mr. Barnbaum passed out Route 13 and 14 schedules and suggested to the Board members that the Route 14 be realigned to accommodate Pride Industries move in December so that employees/visitors to Pride did not have to walk a block to get to the facility.

Barbara Stanton - She noted that on Option #4, Route 18 does not have an alternative route that covers the elimination of that route. On Option #4, staff is suggesting eliminating 6 of the 9 neighborhood routes, so why is there a CBS division? Why is Route 33 in the neighborhood ride loop when it has the highest ridership, and should be a "big" bus? Why is Route 18 being eliminated when its weekday productivity shows a performance standard of 10 and the Route 18 is going operating at 11.4?

\*Handout

**PUBLIC ADDRESSES COMMITTEE ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA\***

**ADJOURN**

As there was no further business to be conducted, the meeting was adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

---

STEVE MILLER, Chair

A T T E S T:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Cindy Brooks, Assistant Secretary